



ReadyCoach



Summary of Empirical Evidence

ReadyCoach: A Research-Based Instructional Coaching Model

Instructional coaching is an interpersonal, problem-solving process aimed at enhancing educators' instructional and behavior management practices to maximize achievement for all students. As an indirect service model, instructional coaching can drive student achievement through improvements in classroom practices.

ReadyCoach is an online platform that facilitates instructional coaching that consists of six key actions: using data to identify students' needs, setting goals based on benchmarks for student performance, designing implementation plans, modeling implementation steps and facilitating practice opportunities, providing performance feedback, and evaluating implementation and goal attainment to refine ongoing implementation. Components of this model have been examined in six large-scale research studies and have been found to be effective at improving educator and student outcomes.

Evidence to Support the Effectiveness of the ReadyCoach Model

Six studies provide substantial evidence to support the effectiveness of the ReadyCoach platform. This ongoing research in multiple educational contexts and settings and found to improve instructional and behavioral management practices that maximize educators' effectiveness in bolstering student achievement, academic engagement and social behavior. A brief description of these studies and their findings follows.

Study 1: Coaching to Improve Early Reading

In an eight-state randomized controlled trial funded by the U.S. Department of Education with 206 K-3 grade classroom teachers and 184 reading interventionists from 61 schools (Grant Award# R305C090022) Glover, Ihlo, and Shapiro examined the effects of workshop-based professional development with regular coaching for data-driven reading interventions on classroom practices and reading outcomes for 1,719 students at risk for and with significant reading difficulties. Coaching took place monthly throughout the school year. It focused on a process whereby coaches worked with classroom teachers and interventionists to (a) analyze screening data of students' early reading performance relative to performance benchmarks; (b) identify potential mechanisms responsible for this performance; (c) develop skill-specific reading goals; (d) select reading group-based interventions to achieve the goals; and (e) monitor students' progress toward goal attainment and the need for changes in intervention planning. Classroom teachers and intervention received support in using data to drive decisions and implementing research-based interventions from a project toolkit. Coaches modeled and offered opportunities for teachers and interventionist to practice data-based decision making and instructional delivery. They provided feedback about adherence to data-based decision-making protocols and intervention implementation steps.



Thus, the overall findings indicated that professional development with coaching was an effective approach for promoting early prevention of student reading problems.

Reports of this study (e.g., Glover, 2016, 2017; Ihlo, Glover, Howell Smith, Martin, Wu, & Bovaird, under review) substantiated that, relative to controls:

- > Teachers and interventionists who received modeling, opportunities for practice, and ongoing feedback had statistically significant increases greater than controls in their self-efficacy, knowledge) and application of data-based decision making.
- > Students in coached teachers' classrooms outperformed control students on measures of kindergarten and first-grade alphabetic principal and phonics and second- and third-grade oral reading fluency. Students in coached teachers' classrooms also exhibited higher end-of-year performance on letter/word identification and word attack.
- > High levels of coaching fidelity and teacher acceptability of coaching were found.

Study 2: Coaching to Improve School-Based Behavioral Supports

In another study funded by the U.S. Department of Education (Grant Award# R305F050284), Sheridan and Glover evaluated a similar data-driven consultation approach known as conjoint behavioral consultation (CBC). CBC was used to support 207 K-3 students from 82 classrooms with disruptive classroom behaviors with or at risk for Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED). Eight weeks of support were provided to each student's classroom teacher and parents in identifying the student's behavioral needs and implementing behavioral strategies from a toolkit of research-based behavioral interventions. Support was provided to the teacher and parents together as partners in (a) identifying students' needs (b) determining the function of target student behaviors, (c) developing a plan utilizing strategies from a behavioral intervention toolkit, (d) implementing strategies with fidelity, and (e) evaluating plan implementing and student progress to determine the need for intervention changes.

Results from this study demonstrated the efficacy of this data-driven consultation approach (Sheridan, Bovaird, Glover, Garbacz, Witte, & Kwon, 2012). Specifically, relative to the control group:

- > Students in the CBC condition exhibited greater positive changes in adaptive behaviors and social skills, as measured at school.
- > Teachers in the CBC condition reported greater increases in positive relationships with parents.
- > Parent-teacher relationships were found to mediate the effects of CBC on adaptive and social skills.



Study 3: Coaching to Improve Classroom Behavioral Management Practices

Reddy and colleagues evaluated the impact of brief coaching on classroom practices. In a multi-state randomized controlled trial funded by the U.S. Department of Education (Grant Award# R305A08033), 89 elementary school teachers, stratified by grade level, were randomly assigned to immediate coaching (i.e., 4 sessions; 30 minutes each; 2 hours total) or waitlist control. Classroom Strategies Coaching (CSC) targeted class-wide instructional and behavioral management practices as measured by the Classroom Strategies Assessment System (CSAS, Reddy & Dudek, 2014). Coaching involved supporting teachers in the identification of practice needs and goals and the design and execution of implementation plans. Coaching included regular modeling and the provision of practice opportunities and visual performance feedback.

Results from this study demonstrated the efficacy of the coaching approach (Fabiano, Reddy, & Dudek, 2018; Reddy, Dudek & Lekwa, 2017). Relative to waitlist controls:

- > Teachers who received coaching demonstrated statistically significant greater improvements in observed behavior management practices.
- > Teachers who received coaching also self-reported greater improvements in instructional and behavioral management practices.
- > High levels of coaching fidelity and teacher acceptability of coaching were achieved.

Study 4: Coaching to Improve Instructional and Behavior Management Practices and Student Academic Behavior

Reddy and colleagues conducted a three-cohort randomized controlled trial (funded by the Brady Education Foundation) of the effectiveness of the Classroom Strategies Coaching (CSC) model in urban, high poverty elementary schools. Coaching for 106 teachers with 2,195 students focused on class-wide instructional and behavioral management practices as measured by the CSAS (Reddy & Dudek, 2014). Coaching involved eight 30-minute sessions (4 hours total), and focused on the identification of teacher/student needs and goals, design of implementation plans, modeling, practice and provision of visual performance feedback via use of an on-line scoring and reporting system.

Study 5: Coaching to Improve Paraprofessional Behavior Intervention Practices and Student Disruptive Behaviors

In a large-scale randomized controlled trial funded by the US Department of Education (R324A170069; four-cohorts), Reddy and Glover are examining the efficacy of behavior support coaching for paraprofessionals (BSC-P) for students with and at risk for Disruptive Behavior Disorders in high-poverty elementary schools. Coaching is provided individually to general education teachers and paraprofessionals in eight 40-minute meetings. Observational data is used to identify student behavior needs, functions of behavior, set goals, and select, implement and evaluate research-based interventions from a behavior intervention toolkit. Initial implementation (i.e., 37 schools, 108 paraprofessionals, 239 students with disruptive behavior disorders) suggest strong support for the efficacy of this coaching model in high-poverty elementary schools.

Results from this study demonstrated the effectiveness of the coaching approach (Reddy, Shernoff & Lekwa, 2020; Reddy, Lekwa, & Shernoff, 2020). In comparison to waitlist controls:

- > CSC teachers demonstrated greater improvements in independently observed instructional practices and behavior management practices at post-intervention.
- > Students of coached teachers demonstrated greater improvements in independently observed academic engagement and teacher rated social emotional strengths at post-intervention.
- > Students of coached teachers displayed significant improvements in academic and behavior functioning.
- > CSC teachers reported greater gains in emotional support and instrumental support.
- > CSC general versus special education teachers had similar teacher and student outcomes.
- > High levels of coaching fidelity and teacher acceptability of coaching were achieved.

Compared to waitlist controls:

- > Students of BSC-P paraprofessionals demonstrate greater improvements in academic engagement, inappropriate physical behaviors, and inappropriate verbal behaviors.
- > Students of BSC-P paraprofessionals demonstrated greater improvements in adaptive skills, school problems, social skills and overall academic competence.
- > BSC-P paraprofessionals reported greater gains in instrumental support.
- > High levels of coaching fidelity and paraprofessional and teacher acceptability of the BSC-P coaching model were achieved.

Study 6: Coaching Components that Predict Effective Teacher Practices and Student Performance

Given the lack of research evaluating specific coaching components, Glover, Reddy, and Crouse (2020, in submission) conducted a novel, large-scale study examining relationships between specific coaching actions (i.e., modeling of teacher practices, facilitated teacher practice opportunities, and the provision of feedback) and teacher and student outcomes. Participants from 16 high poverty charter schools from the Northeastern U.S. included 16 instructional coaches, 133 classroom teachers, and 3,649 K-12 students. Coaches utilized an online platform to facilitate a data-driven, problem-solving coaching approach found to be efficacious in large-scale randomized controlled trials (e.g. Glover, 2017; Reddy, Dudek, & Lekwa, 2017). Multiple regression analyses were conducted to investigate relationships between coaching actions and (a) the fidelity of teachers' implementation of interventions, (b) teachers' use of effective research-based instructional and behavior management practices, and (c) students' academic performance.



Results from this study demonstrated the following predictive relationships:

- > Coaches' provision of practice opportunities was a significant predictor of teachers' intervention implementation fidelity, with greater practice leading to greater fidelity.
- > Coaches' provision of practice opportunities and feedback predicted evidence-based classroom strategies, with greater practice and/or feedback leading to better use of classroom strategies.
- > Coaches' provision of practice opportunities and feedback predicted students' reading performance on a state achievement test.
- > Provision of practice opportunities predicted student math performance on a state achievement test.

Selected References for Supporting Research

- Dudek, C., Reddy, L.A., Lekwa, A., Hua, A., & Fabiano, G. (2019). Improving universal classroom practices through teaching formative assessment and coaching. *Assessment for Effective Intervention* 44(2), 81-94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508418772919>
- Fabiano, G., Reddy, L.A., & Dudek, C.M. (2018). Use of teacher coaching and formative assessment for improving classroom practices. *School Psychology Quarterly*. 33(2), 293- 304. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/spq0000223>
- Glover, T. A. (2016). Distance Coaching for Response to Intervention and early reading supports. In Nugent, G.C., Kunz, G.M., Sheridan, S.M., Glover, T.A., Knoche, L.L. (Eds.), *Rural education research: State of the science and emerging directions*. New York, NY: Springer Publishing
- Glover, T.A. (2017). A Data-Driven Coaching Model Used to Promote Students' Response to Early Reading Intervention. *Theory Into Practice*, 56, 13-20.
- Glover, T.A., Kettler, R.J., Reddy, L.A. & Kurz, A. (2019). Using formative assessments to inform school practices and teacher evaluation: Opportunities for research and practice. *Assessment for Effective Intervention* 44(2), 67-68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508418811589>
- Glover, T.A., & Reddy, L.A. (2017). Instructional coaching: Instructional Coaching: Consideration of Key Competencies from Multiple Models. *Theory into Practice*, 56, 1-2.
- Glover, T.A. & Reddy, L.A. (2017). Instructional coaching: Considerations of key competencies from multiple models. *Theory into Practice*, 56, 1-2. doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2016.1262156
- Glover, T.A., & Reddy, L.A. (2020, February). *Vital Components of Instructional Coaching that Predict Student Achievement*. Poster presented at the annual Pacific Coast Research Conference, San Diego, CA.
- Glover, T. A., Reddy, L.A., & Crouse, K. (in submission). Instructional coaching actions that predict improved teacher practice and student performance.
- Glover, T.A., Reddy, L.A., Kettler, R.J., Kurz, A., & Lekwa, A.J. (2016). Improving high stakes decisions via formative assessment, professional development, and comprehensive educator evaluation: The School System Improvement Project. *Teachers College Record* (Yearbook), 118(14). Retrieved August 22, 2016 from <http://www.tcrecord.org> ID Number: 221540.
- Glover, T.A., Reddy, L.A., Kurz, A., & Elliott, S.N. (2019). Use of an online platform to facilitate and investigate data-driven instructional coaching. *Assessment for Effective Intervention* 44(2), 95-103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508418811593>
- Ihlo, T., Glover, T. A., Howell Smith, M. C., Martin, S. D., Wu, C., & Bovaird, J. A. (under review). A randomized trial investigation of the efficacy of professional development with distance coaching for early reading RTI.
- Kurz, A., Reddy, L.A., & Glover, T. (2017). A multidisciplinary framework for instructional coaching. *Theory into Practice*. 56, 66-77. doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2016.1260404

- Lekwa, A., Reddy, L.A., & Shernoff, E. (2016). Advancing instructional coaching with teacher formative assessment and input. In S. Lawrence, (Ed.), Literacy Program Evaluation and Development Initiatives for P-12 Teaching, Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- Reddy, L.A., & Dudek, C. (2014). Teacher progress monitoring of instructional and behavioral management practices: An evidence-based approach to improving classroom practices. International Journal of School and Educational Psychology, 2, 71–84.
- Reddy, L. A., M. Dudek, C., & Lekwa, A. (2017). Classroom Strategies Coaching Model: Integration of formative assessment and instructional coaching. Theory Into Practice, 56, 46-55.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2016.1241944>
- Reddy, L.A., Glover, T.A., Elliott, S.N., & Kurz, A. (2019). Assessing the effectiveness and interactions of instructional coaches: Initial psychometric evidence for the Instructional Coaching Assessments – Teacher Forms Assessment for Effective Intervention 44(2), 104- 119.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508418771739>
- Reddy, L.A., Dudek, C., & Shernoff, E. (2016). Teacher formative assessment: The missing link in response to intervention. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), Handbook of Response to Intervention: The Science and Practice of Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (2nd ed.). New York: Springer Science.
- Reddy, L.A., & Lekwa, A. (2019). Working with teachers on Tier 1 instruction: Use of formative assessment and coaching. Cambridge Handbook of Applied School Psychology. NY: NY
- Reddy, LA., Lekwa, A., & Shernoff, E. (under second review). Comparison of the effects of coaching for general and special education teachers in high-poverty elementary schools. Journal of Learning Disabilities
- Reddy, LA., Shernoff, E., & Lekwa, A. (under second review). A randomized controlled trial of instructional coaching in high-poverty schools: Examining teacher practices and student outcomes. Journal of School Psychology
- Sheridan, S. M., Bovaird, J. A., Glover, T. A., Garbacz, S. A., Witte, A., & Kwon, K. (2012). A randomized trial examining the effects of Conjoint Behavioral Consultation and the mediating role of the parent teacher relationship. School Psychology Review, 41, 23-46.